

6/A
Elmer
8-28-03

Application Number: 09/683,685
 Application Filed: 02/03/2002
 Applicant: Aleksandar Susnjari (30379)
 Title: High-Speed Disk Drive System
 Examiner: Kevin Verbrugge
 Art Unit: 2188

**RECEIVED**

AUG 20 2003

Technology Center 2100

Markham, Ontario 2003 Aug 08, Friday

To: Commissioner for Patents,
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION
(OFFICIAL / NON FINAL)

In response to Office Action mailed May 19th, 2003, please read the included review of rejections and references made by the examiner and amend the listed application claims.

Included in this response:

Introductory Comments.....	4
Amendments to the claims	5
Remarks	12
Review of claim objections (37 CFR §1.75(c)) made by the examiner.....	12
Review of rejections (35 USC §102) and references made by the examiner	12
Review of rejections (35 USC §103) and references made by the examiner	20
Comparative Table of Inventions.....	23
General Remarks.....	24
Certificate Of Mailing.....	26
Payment of Fees.....	27


Table of Contents

Introductory Comments.....	4
Amendments to the claims	5
Claim 1 (currently amended)	5
Claim 2 (currently amended)	5
Claim 3 (currently amended)	5
Claim 4 (currently amended)	6
Claim 5 (currently amended)	6
Claim 6 (currently amended)	6
Claim 7 (currently amended)	7
Claim 8 (currently amended)	7
Claim 9 (original).....	7
Claim 10 (currently amended)	8
Claim 11 (currently amended)	8
Claim 12 (currently amended)	8
Claim 13 (currently amended)	9
Claim 14 (currently amended)	9
Claim 15 (currently amended)	9
Claim 16 (currently amended)	10
Claim 17 (currently amended)	10
Claim 18 (original).....	10
Claim 19 (currently amended)	11
Remarks	12
Review of claim objections (37 CFR §1.75(c)) made by the examiner.....	12
Review of rejections (35 USC §102) and references made by the examiner	12
Regarding My Claim #1:	12
Regarding My Claim #2:	13
Regarding My Claim #3:	14
Regarding My Claim #4:	15
Regarding My Claim #5:	15
Regarding My Claim #6:	16

Regarding My Claim #7:	16
Regarding My Claim #8:	17
Regarding My Claim #19:	18
Review of rejections (35 USC §103) and references made by the examiner	20
Regarding My Claims #9, #10, #11, #12 and #13	20
Comparative Table of Inventions.....	23
General Remarks.....	24
Certificate Of Mailing.....	26
Payment of Fees.....	27

Introductory Comments

During examination a number of my claims were rejected with references to other patents. However, there are significant distinctions between my invention (with my claims) and all other referenced patents separately or combined that were missed or otherwise unrecognized during this examination process. In addition to this, I have made amendments to my claims in order to narrow them down and exclude any traces of commonality with referenced prior art. Therefore, I would like the position towards my invention (and claims) to be reconsidered in light of additional explanations and distinction descriptions that follow in my response.



RECEIVED

AUG 20 2003

Technology Center 2100

Certificate Of Mailing

Certificate Of Mailing: I certify that on the date below this document and referenced attachments, if any, will be deposited with Canada Post as REGULAR LETTER MAIL in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
U.S.A.

Aug 12th, 2003

Date


Aleksandar Susnjar

Aleksandar Susnjar, Applicant

Payment of Fees

I tried to find the fees and payment forms on your web site, but was unable to understand which one applies and whether there even are any. If there are any fees, please charge them appropriately to my American Express credit card 3733 748456 51007, expiring 11/03.

Signed:



Aleksandar Susnjar

25 Saintsbury Rd

Markham, Ontario L6C 2H9

CANADA



2188

Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Markham, Ontario 2003 Aug 12, Tuesday

RECEIVED

AUG 20 2003

AUG 21 REC'D

Technology Center 2100

TC 2100

To whom it may concern,

This is the second copy of the same reply to your first office action. It is absolutely the same in every respect, other than mailing date and signing date (as I printed my electronic copy again and signed it again).

The reason for sending my response again a possible misunderstanding with Canada Post. I wanted to send this letter using the fastest possible way, yet equivalent to U.S. Postal Service First Class Mail, without requiring PTO to sign for it, as I believe this is prohibited.

I was initially told that Canada Post "Registered Mail" is the way I should this response to PTO because it will arrive faster, will become U.S. First Class Mail once it enters the U.S.A., therefore will not require a signature from PTO and I will be able to track its progress through Canada only.

Since then (Friday, August 8, 2003) I have learned that this may not be the case and that I was possibly misinformed. Therefore, I am sending this response again, using entirely normal Canada Post mail, in hope that it will arrive on time if there are any problems with the original one.

If the original returns back to me (sender), I will keep it unopened.

Regards,

Aleksandar Susnjic